# Slowly but surely: how to reduce hepatitis C prevalence

Modest increases in hepatitis C treatment rates amongst people who inject drugs will significantly reduce infection prevalence, resulting in benefits for both individuals and the public.

### THE ISSUE

Chronic hepatitis C infection confers significant morbidity and mortality due to liver cirrhosis and liver cancer. In high-income countries, people who inject drugs (PWID) are at greatest risk of being infected with hepatitis C.<sup>1</sup> Hence, PWID are the priority population for prevention and treatment if we are to eliminate hepatitis C.

### WHAT OUR WORK FOUND

In 2012, Professor Hellard and colleagues used mathematical modelling to estimate the prevalence of chronic hepatitis C infection and determine what impact treatment could have on prevalence over time amongst people who are currently injecting drugs in Victoria, Australia.

- The model suggested that treatment of 625 PWID in Victoria (25 out of every 1000 PWID) would reduce the prevalence of hepatitis C amongst PWID by half within 30 years.
- Immediate implementation of high treatment rates would result in lower hepatitis C prevalence after 10-15 years than slow scale-up over 5 years.
- Increasing hepatitis treatment rates above 25/1000 resulted in greater estimated reductions in hepatitis C prevalence.

#### CONCLUSION

Relatively modest increases in treatment rates amongst PWID in Victoria could significantly reduce hepatitis C prevalence over 10–15 years.



## **Policy Implications**

Interventions to increase access to hepatitis C treatment have benefits for individuals and reduce hepatitis C prevalence at a population level.

For complete details, contact Professor Margaret Hellard (margaret.hellard@burnet.edu.au).

Full publication: Hellard M, Jenkinson R, Higgs P, Stoové M, Sacks-Davis R, Gold J, Hickman M, Vickerman P, Martin N. Modelling antiviral treatment to prevent hepatitis C infection among people who inject drugs in Victoria, Australia. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2012; 196: 638–641. doi: 10.5694/mja11.10981

